### metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# Tris{bis[hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato- $\kappa^3 N^2, N^{2'}, N^{2''}$ ]iron(III)} hexaisothiocyanatoiron(III)

## Shi Wang, Yi-Zhi Li,\* Jing-Lin Zuo,\* Cheng-Hui Li and Xiao-Zeng You

Coordination Chemistry Institute, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: llyyjz@nju.edu.cn

Received 23 March 2004 Accepted 13 April 2004 Online 22 May 2004

The title compound,  $[Fe(C_9H_{10}BN_6)_2]_3[Fe(NCS)_6]$  or  $[Fe^{III}-(Tp)_2]_3[Fe^{III}(NCS)_6]$  [Tp is hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borate], crystallizes in space group  $R\overline{3}$ ; the asymmetric unit comprises one-half of an  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  cation, with its Fe atom on a crystallographic inversion centre, and one-sixth of an  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  anion, on a site of  $\overline{3}$  symmetry. The anions and cations are stacked into a three-dimensional supramolecular aggregate *via* two distinct types of weak C-H··· $\pi$  interactions.

#### Comment

Poly(1-pyrazolyl)borate ligands  $[BH_nPz_{4-n}]^-$  (Pz is 1-pyrazolyl) have become one of the most popular families of ligands in coordination chemistry since their introduction. When present in a tridentate coordination mode they are often considered as analogues to  $\pi$ -cyclopentadienyl groups, in that both kinds of ligands effectively occupy three facial coordination sites around a metal ion and are six-electron donors with one negative charge (Trofimenko, 1993). A large number of poly(pyrazolyl)borate complexes of main-group and transition metals have been prepared, and these complexes have attracted much interest in organometallic, coordination and bioinorganic chemistry. For example, the [Cu(Tp)]<sub>2</sub> dimer [Tp is hydrotris(pyrazolyl)borate] has been found to be useful as a starting material for bioinorganic modelling studies (Carrier *et al.*, 1993).

Iron(III) complexes with these ligands are of interest, especially from the bioinorganic point of view, because the  $\kappa^3 N$  coordination mimics the multiimidazole coordination often found at the active sites of non-heme iron proteins (Lippard, 1988). The synthesis and characterization of a binuclear iron complex, namely [Fe<sub>2</sub><sup>III</sup>O(O<sub>2</sub>CCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Tp)<sub>2</sub>], as a synthetic analogue for methemerythrin is a good example (Armstrong, 1983; Armstrong *et al.*, 1984). Iron(II) complexes with this kind of ligand are of special interest because of their

unusual magnetic properties (Weldon *et al.*, 2001). The Fe[HB(Pz)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, Fe[HB(3,5-Me<sub>2</sub>Pz)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> and Fe[HB(3,4,5-Me<sub>3</sub>-Pz)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> complexes have been the subject of several variable-temperature Mössbauer spectral studies in investigating spin-state transitions (Jesson *et al.*, 1967; Long & Hutchinson, 1987; Grandjean *et al.*, 1989).



We report here the synthesis and structure of the title complex,  $3[Fe^{III}(Tp)_2]^+ \cdot [Fe^{III}(NCS)_6]^{3-}$ , (I) (Fig. 1), in the  $R\overline{3}$  space group. The asymmetric unit comprises one-half of an



#### Figure 1

The structures of (a) the  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  cation [atom Fe1 is on an inversion centre and atoms marked with an asterisk (\*) are at the symmetry position  $(\frac{2}{3} - x, \frac{1}{3} - y, \frac{1}{3} - z)$ ] and (b) the  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  anion (atom Fe2 is at a site with 3 symmetry). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.





A view of a layer in (I) parallel to the  $(10\overline{1})$  plane. The broken lines show weak C-H··· $\pi$  interactions, as detailed in Table 1 [C9-H9···Cg1<sup>i</sup> and C5-H5···Cg2<sup>ii</sup>; symmetry codes: (i) y, -x + y, 1 - z; (ii) x - y, x, -z].

 $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  cation, with its Fe atom on a crystallographic inversion centre, and one-sixth of an  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  anion, on a site of  $\overline{3}$  symmetry. The structure of the  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  cation is very similar to that of  $Fe(Tp)_2$  (Oliver *et al.*, 1980). The Fe atom is octahedrally coordinated to six N atoms of the two Tp ligands. The  $Fe-N_{Tp}$  bond distances lie in the narrow range 1.941 (3)-1.953 (3) Å. The cis intraligand N-Fe-N bond angles are in the range 88.30 (9)-88.59 (9)°. The parameters in the anion compare favourably with those found for  $(NMe_4)_3[Fe(NCS)_6]$  {values for the  $NMe_4^+$  salt (Müller, 1977) are given in square brackets; Fe2-N7 = 2.063 (2) Å  $[2.03-2.06 \text{ Å}], \text{ Fe2}-N7-C10 = 172.1 (2)^{\circ} [170-179^{\circ}], N7 C10-S1 = 178.3 (3)^{\circ} [178-179^{\circ}], N7-C10 = 1.161 (4) Å$ [1.11-1.15 Å] and C10-S1 = 1.621 (3) Å [1.57-1.66 Å]. A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen, 2002) for compounds that contain the hexaisothiocyanatoiron(III) anion yielded only four hits. Of these, only one involved a metal complex as its cation (Coleman et al., 1988).

There has been increased interest recently in hydrogen bonds and other non-covalent interactions involving  $\pi$ acceptors (Ni et al., 2003; Li et al., 2003). Jeffrey (1997) mentions this possibility and classifies these interactions as weak hydrogen bonds. There are two types of weak  $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions in (I), which play an important role in the extended structure [Cg1 is the centre of gravity of the isothiocyanate group (type I) and Cg2 is the centroid of the N3/ N4/C4–C6 pyrazole ring (type II); Table 1] Each  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-1}$ ion is connected to six  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  units via weak C-H···Cg1 interactions, while each  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ion is connected to four neighbouring  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  moieties via C-H···Cg2 interactions and to two  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  units via weak  $C-H\cdots Cg1$ interactions. Thus, the alternating cations and anions are stacked together into an extended three-dimensional network.

In order to describe this structure in detail, we chose one layer parallel to the  $(10\overline{1})$  plane. As shown in Fig. 2, there are two types of environment for the  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ion. In one, each  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ion is connected to two  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ions via type II interactions and to two  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  ions via type I interactions; in the other, each  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ion is connected to four  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ions via type II interactions. Each  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  ion is connected to four  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  ions via type I interactions. Thus, type II interactions exist only between the  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$ units, and type I interactions occur between the  $[Fe(Tp)_2]^+$  and  $[Fe(NCS)_6]^{3-}$  ions. The layers are connected by the two types of weak  $C-H \cdots \pi$  interactions, thus forming a three-dimensional network.

#### Experimental

A solution of KTp (25.2 mg, 0.1 mmol) in acetonitrile (3 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of (Et<sub>4</sub>N)[FeCl<sub>4</sub>] (32.5 mg, 0.1 mmol) in acetonitrile (2 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h. Solid KSCN (48.6 mg, 0.5 mmol) was added to the mixture, which was stirred for another 15 h and then filtered. Red crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow diffusion of ether into the filtrate. IR (KBr pellet): 2508 (BH), 2069, 2029  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ (CN).

#### Crystal data

$[Fe(C_9H_{10}BN_6)_2]_3[Fe(NCS)_6]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1850.12$	Cell parameters from 531
Trigonal, R3	reflections
a = 22.676 (2)  Å	$\theta = 2.1 - 19.0^{\circ}$
b = 22.676(2) Å	$\mu = 0.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 13.5195 (18)  Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\alpha = 90.00^{\circ}$	Block, red
$V = 6020.3 (12) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$
Z = 3	
$D_x = 1.531 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
-	

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-	2638 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	1915 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.044$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$h = -27 \rightarrow 25$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.76, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.79$	$k = -25 \rightarrow 27$
11 418 measured reflections	$l = -9 \rightarrow 16$
Refinement	

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0526P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.104$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
S = 1.11	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2638 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
181 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL93
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.00035 (9)

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

Cg1 is the centroid of the isothiocyanate group and Cg2 is the centroid of the N3/N4/C4-C6 pyrazole ring.

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C9-H9\cdots Cg1^{i}$	0.93	2.54	3.399	153
$C5-H5\cdots Cg2^{ii}$	0.93	2.75	3.654	164

Symmetry codes: (i) y, -x + y, 1 - z; (ii) x - y, x, -z.

## metal-organic compounds

All H atoms were identified in difference maps and were included in the refinement in the riding-motion approximation [C-H = 0.93 Å, B-H = 0.98 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{carrier atom})]$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. NSF20201006 and 90101028).

#### References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380–388. Armstrong, W. H. (1983). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 4837–4838.

- Armstrong, W. H., Spool, A., Papaefthymiou, G. C., Frankel, R. B. & Lippard, S. J. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 3653–3667.
- Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT, SHELXTL and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carrier, S. M., Ruggiero, C. E., Houser, R. P. & Tolman, W. B. (1993). Inorg. Chem. 32, 4889–4899.
- Coleman, A. W., Baskar, A. J., Bott, S. G. & Atwood, J. L. (1988). J. Coord. Chem. 17, 339–345.
- Grandjean, F., Long, G. T., Hutchinson, B. B., Ohlhausen, L., Neill, P. & Holcomb, J. D. (1989). *Inorg. Chem.* 28, 4406–4414.
- Jeffrey, G. A. (1997). In *An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Jesson, J. P., Trofimenko, S. & Eaton, D. R. (1967). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 3158–3164.
- Li, Y.-Z., Liu, W.-W., Li, Y.-J., Pan, G.-J. & Hu, H.-W. (2003). Acta Cryst. C59, 0611–0612.
- Lippard, S. J. (1988). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 27, 344-361.
- Long, G. J. & Hutchinson, B. B. (1987). Inorg. Chem. 26, 608-613.
- Müller, U. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2197-2201.
- Ni, J., Li, Y.-Z., Qi, W.-B., Liu, Y.-J., Chen, H.-L. & Wang, Z.-L. (2003). Acta Cryst. C59, 0470–0472.
- Oliver, J. D., Mullica, D. F., Hutchinson, B. B. & Milligan, W. O. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 19, 165–169.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Trofimenko, S. (1993). Chem. Rev. 93, 943-980.
- Weldon, B. T., Wheeler, D. E., Kirby, J. P. & McCusker, J. K. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 6802–6812.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FG1750). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.